

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	8:24-cv-02323-DOC-JDE	Date	January 8, 2025
Title	Tianxiao Yang v. David M. Radel		

Present: The Honorable DAVID O. CARTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Karlen Dubon

Deputy Clerk

None Present

Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None Present

**PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR
LACK OF PROSECUTION ****SECOND NOTICE******

The file in this case lacks the papers that would show it is being timely prosecuted, as reflected below. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff (s) to show cause in writing no later than **January 10, 2025** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently. If necessary, plaintiff(s) must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will accept one of the following as an appropriate response to this OSC if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently:

(1) Deft(s) Answer to the Complaint -OR- Plaintiff's Request for Default.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiff(s) is due. Failure to respond by the date above will result in a Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution.

The Court strongly encourages the plaintiff to contact the CACD Pro Se clinic:
<https://prose.cacd.uscourts.gov/> 714-541-1010, Ext. 222.

Plaintiff is ordered to serve this order on all defendants.

cc: tansy.yo@gmail.com

Initial of Deputy Clerk kdu